



# DOYLES

## CONSTRUCTION LAWYERS

\* MELBOURNE

\* SYDNEY

\* BRISBANE

### **BAULDERSTONE HORNIBROOK PTY LTD V HBO & DC PTY LTD**

**[2001] NSWSC 821**

**Supreme Court of New South Wales – 14 September 2001**

#### **FACTS**

HBO & DC Pty Ltd. ('HBO') entered into a contract with Baulderstone Hornibrook Pty Ltd. ('Baulderstone'). HBO served a Payment Claim pursuant to the Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 1999 ('the Act'). Baulderstone did not respond with a Payment Schedule under the Act within the prescribed time and failed or refused to pay the adjudicated amount. HBO then sought to enter summary judgment. Baulderstone indicated that it would argue that HBO was not entitled to an order for summary judgment for reasons which include that the Payment Claim was not a claim within the meaning of the Act.

#### **ISSUES**

When can summary judgment be entertained?

#### **FINDING**

The Court noted that the default provisions of the Act provide that judgment in favour of a Claimant is not to be entered unless the Court is satisfied that:

- the Respondent has failed to serve a payment schedule within the prescribed time and the Respondent has failed to pay the whole or any part of the amount claimed; or
- the Respondent provides a payment schedule indicating an amount that the Respondent proposes to pay and the Respondent fails to pay the whole or any part of the scheduled amount on or before the due date for the progress payment to which the payment claim relates; or
- the claim proceeds to adjudication and the Respondent fails to pay the adjudicated amount or fails to give security for payment of the whole or any part of the adjudicated amount.

In the present case the Court found that important issues raised in relation to the Act would need to be decided and it was not satisfied that entertaining a summary judgment application separately was consistent with the just, quick and cheap resolution of the proceeding. Those issues could be determined at a final hearing.

#### **QUOTE**

"The overriding purpose of these rules, in their application to civil proceedings, is to facilitate the just, quick and cheap resolution of the real issues in such proceedings."

#### **IMPACT**

The NSW Act has since been amended so that the case would now be decided differently. Under the amendments act, there is no need to make a summary judgment application to enforce payment of an adjudicated amount. Instead, the claimant now simply applies to the authorised nominating authority for an "adjudication certificate" which the claimant can then file as a judgment of the court.

The new Queensland Act incorporates these "adjudicate certificate" provisions.

© Doyles Construction Lawyers 2004

This publication is intended to be a topical report on recent case in the construction, development and project industries. This publication is not intended to be a substitute for professional advice, and no liability is accepted.

NSW

Jim Doyle

P: 02 9283 5388

E: [jdoyle@doyles-solicitors.com.au](mailto:jdoyle@doyles-solicitors.com.au)

QLD

Frank Nardone

P: 07 3221 2970

E: [fnardone@doylescl.com.au](mailto:fnardone@doylescl.com.au)

VIC

Elisa Hesling

P: 03 9620 0322

E: [ehesling@doyles-solicitors.com.au](mailto:ehesling@doyles-solicitors.com.au)

[www.doyleslawyers.com](http://www.doyleslawyers.com)